

CLEF 2000

Result Overview


Martin Braschler

Eurospider Information Technology AG

8006 Zürich, Switzerland

braschler@eurospider.com






CLEF - Participants

- CWI
- Eurospider
- IAI/U Saarbrücken
- IRIT
- ITC-irst
- Johns Hopkins U/APL
- New Mexico SU
- Syracuse U – CNLP
- TNO/U Twente
- U Chicago
- U Dortmund
- U Glasgow
- U Maryland
- U Montreal/RALI
- U Salamanca
- U Sheffield
- U Tampere
- UC Berkeley
- West Group
- Xerox XRCE




20 participants, 10 different countries.





Details of Experiments

Track	# Participants	# Runs
Multilingual	11	28
Bilingual	10	27
Monolingual G	11	13
Monolingual F	9	10
Monolingual I	9	10
Domain-specific	3	7





Runs per Topic Language






Topic Fields






The CLEF Collection

	# part.	# documents	Size in MB	# assess.	# topics	# ass. per topic
CLEF	20	368,763	1158	43,566	40	1089
TREC8 CLIR	12	698,773	1620	23,156	28	827
TREC7 AdHoc	42+4	528,155	1904	~80,000	50	~1600
TREC 8 AdHoc	41	528,155	1904	86,830	50	1736





Trends Observed for CLEF-2000 vs. TREC-8 CLIR

- A lot of work on stemming and decomposing
- Various groups tried to „get by“ with inexpensive resources
- The availability of resources and tools is a pressing issue
- The increase in use of linguistic resources could be due to more European participants





CLEF 2000 Multilingual Task - Automatic







CLEF 2000 Multilingual Task - Manual







CLEF 2000 Bilingual Task - Automatic







CLEF 2000 Monolingual Task - German Automatic







CLEF 2000 Monolingual Task - French Automatic





CLEF 2000 Monolingual Task - Italian Automatic





CLEF 2000 Domain-specific Task - GIRT

