

Without Users, no Retrieval!

Overview and Future of iCLEF

Jussi Karlgren

September 16, 2008, Århus

Interactive CLEF

Julio Gonzalo

Javier Artilles

Víctor Peinado

Fernando López-Ostenero

Paul Clough

Emma Barker

Jussi Karlgren

UNED

Spain

U. Sheffield

United Kingdom

SICS

Sweden

Big question

How best to *assist users* when searching information written in unknown languages,
rather than how best an algorithm can *find information* written in languages different from the query language.

Avoid the dread MT Trap!

Big question

How best to *assist users* when searching information written in unknown languages,
rather than how best an algorithm can *find information* written in languages different from the query language.

Avoid the dread MT Trap!

Traditional evaluation

- Strict and well-established evaluation scheme.
- Test-collections, pre-assessed data.
- Clear (but debated) target notion: “relevance”.
- Great benefits to field.

So — why go interactive?

- Users introduce noise in evaluation. (Bad)
- User studies are first step towards extrinsic evaluation. (Good)
- User studies are fraught with methodological issues.
(Interesting)

There is great interest in interactive evaluation among practitioners in the field!

So — why go interactive?

- **Users introduce noise in evaluation.** (Bad)
- User studies are first step towards extrinsic evaluation. (Good)
- User studies are fraught with methodological issues.
(Interesting)

There is great interest in interactive evaluation among practitioners in the field!

So — why go interactive?

- Users introduce noise in evaluation. (Bad)
- User studies are first step towards extrinsic evaluation. (Good)
- User studies are fraught with methodological issues.
(Interesting)

There is great interest in interactive evaluation among practitioners in the field!

So — why go interactive?

- Users introduce noise in evaluation. (Bad)
- User studies are first step towards extrinsic evaluation. (Good)
- User studies are fraught with methodological issues.
(Interesting)

There is great interest in interactive evaluation among practitioners in the field!

So — why go interactive?

- Users introduce noise in evaluation. (Bad)
- User studies are first step towards extrinsic evaluation. (Good)
- User studies are fraught with methodological issues.

(Interesting)

There is great interest in interactive evaluation among practitioners in the field!

Incidental factors

- System characteristics (Engineering, Design)
- Test subject ennui (Bad)
- Task realism (Good)

Incidental factors

- **System characteristics** (Engineering, Design)
- Test subject ennui (Bad)
- Task realism (Good)

Incidental factors

- System characteristics (Engineering, Design)
- Test subject ennui (Bad)
- Task realism (Good)

Incidental factors

- System characteristics (Engineering, Design)
- Test subject ennui (Bad)
- Task realism (Good)

Multilingual image retrieval

- Interesting and well motivated real task (Good)
- Adds complexity (Bad)
- Acts as grey filter (Good)

→ Methodology to tease out the salient factors!

Multilingual image retrieval

- Interesting and well motivated real task (Good)
- Adds complexity (Bad)
- Acts as grey filter (Good)

→ Methodology to tease out the salient factors!

Multilingual image retrieval

- Interesting and well motivated real task (Good)
- Adds complexity (Bad)
- Acts as grey filter (Good)

→ Methodology to tease out the salient factors!

Multilingual image retrieval

- Interesting and well motivated real task (Good)
- Adds complexity (Bad)
- Acts as grey filter (Good)

→ Methodology to tease out the salient factors!

Multilingual image retrieval

- Interesting and well motivated real task (Good)
- Adds complexity (Bad)
- Acts as grey filter (Good)

→ Methodology to tease out the salient factors!

High entry threshold

- Building a system for CLIR is hard work, especially if you need an interface. (Bad)
- Recruiting test subjects is hard work (Bad)
- Running experiments is hard work (Bad)
- Extraneous noise lowers generality of results (Bad)

High entry threshold

- Building a system for CLIR is hard work, especially if you need an interface. (Bad)
- Recruiting test subjects is hard work (Bad)
- Running experiments is hard work (Bad)
- Extraneous noise lowers generality of results (Bad)

High entry threshold

- Building a system for CLIR is hard work, especially if you need an interface. (Bad)
- Recruiting test subjects is hard work (Bad)
- Running experiments is hard work (Bad)
- Extraneous noise lowers generality of results (Bad)

High entry threshold

- Building a system for CLIR is hard work, especially if you need an interface. (Bad)
- Recruiting test subjects is hard work (Bad)
- Running experiments is hard work (Bad)
- Extraneous noise lowers generality of results (Bad)

Making changes

(Good!)

- Move from news collections to using images
- Move from canned information needs towards more naturalistic scenarios
- Lower threshold of entry for test subjects and experimenters alike
- Move from system design towards log analysis

Making changes

(Good!)

- Move from news collections to using images
- Move from canned information needs towards more naturalistic scenarios
- Lower threshold of entry for test subjects and experimenters alike
- Move from system design towards log analysis

Making changes

(Good!)

- Move from news collections to using images
- Move from canned information needs towards more naturalistic scenarios
- Lower threshold of entry for test subjects and experimenters alike
- Move from system design towards log analysis

Making changes

(Good!)

- Move from news collections to using images
- Move from canned information needs towards more naturalistic scenarios
- Lower threshold of entry for test subjects and experimenters alike
- Move from system design towards log analysis

Making changes

(Good!)

- Move from news collections to using images
- Move from canned information needs towards more naturalistic scenarios
- Lower threshold of entry for test subjects and experimenters alike
- Move from system design towards log analysis

Task requirements

- Simple, need no training
- Engaging and addictive
- Clear indication of success.
- Adaptive level of difficulty
- Naturally multilingual.

iCLEF 2008: search log analysis for Multilingual Image Retrieval

- Work on shared log
- Experiment on single interface
- Game-like task
- Find given image using the interface

iCLEF 2008: search log analysis for Multilingual Image Retrieval

- **Work on shared log**
- Experiment on single interface
- Game-like task
- Find given image using the interface

iCLEF 2008: search log analysis for Multilingual Image Retrieval

- Work on shared log
- Experiment on single interface
- Game-like task
- Find given image using the interface

iCLEF 2008: search log analysis for Multilingual Image Retrieval

- Work on shared log
- Experiment on single interface
- Game-like task
- Find given image using the interface

iCLEF 2008: search log analysis for Multilingual Image Retrieval

- Work on shared log
- Experiment on single interface
- Game-like task
- Find given image using the interface

Task Definition

Example images

Flickling interface

- Use dictionary, (add to it if neces) block translations
- Hints (manually fixed, first hint always target language making search mono or bilingual)
- Scores 25p/image -5 for each hint
- No time limit
- See Flickling paper

Logs

...

```
Fri Apr 25 17:50:08 2008 UNED LSI user 2 ... search|saved QueryCache 'cangrejo arena
playa'
Fri Apr 25 17:50:08 2008 UNED LSI user 2 ... search|68 results retrieved from Flickr
Fri Apr 25 17:50:10 2008 UNED LSI user 2 ... playTime|time set on play mode
Fri Apr 25 17:50:28 2008 UNED LSI user 2 ... log|click on found it 324171287: wrong
Fri Apr 25 17:50:33 2008 UNED SCC user 1 ... pauseTime|time was already paused
Fri Apr 25 17:50:33 2008 UNED SCC user 1 ... search|launch query 'lobo' (0:20)
Fri Apr 25 17:50:33 2008 UNED SCC user 1 ... search|using Flickr's API
Fri Apr 25 17:50:34 2008 UNED SCC user 1 ... search|saved QueryCache 'lobo'
Fri Apr 25 17:50:34 2008 UNED SCC user 1 ... search|500 results retrieved
```

...

CLEF Flickr challenge

Publicity in information access forums and photo blog sites.

Two prizes awarded by CLEF: best individual, best participating group.

Success!



> 300 participants, \approx 230 active; researchers, students, photo buffs.

Sustainable resource

Truly reusable data set!

- > 5000 search sessions with associated questionnaires, almost half truly cross-lingual
- > 100 queries
- > 200 active users, with heterogeneous language profiles

Sustainable resource

Truly reusable data set!

- > 5000 search sessions with associated questionnaires, almost half truly cross-lingual
- > 100 queries
- > 200 active users, with heterogeneous language profiles

Experiments

Log analysis

Indian Institute of Information Technology:

success \otimes reformulation

University of Westminster:

language confidence \otimes personal
dictionary use and modification

SICS:

user confidence \approx dictionary
modification, reformulation \otimes success

UNED:

language competence, learning curves \otimes
success, end questionnaires

Observational experiments

Manchester Metropolitan University:

user perception of linguistic factors

Padua:

timing restraints for session

iCLEF 2009: what now?

- It works, don't break it!
- Tweaks likely
- New task possible
- Come to the session on Friday and make your thoughts known!
- Join in the fun, play with the logs!



Announcing The iCLEF Bender Awards





ICLEF 2008 - Flickling Challenge

*An online game for searching
Flicker across language boundaries*

Award for the best individual player

Nuno Cardoso



iclef 2008 - Flickling Challenge

*An online game for searching
Flicker across language boundaries*

Award for the top scoring group

University of Padua